Generation of Synthetic SCADA Signals using cGANs for Enhanced Wind Turbine Fault Detection and Prognosis

Ali Eftekhari Milani Donatella Zappalá Francesco Castellani Simon J. Watson

7th Wind Energy Systems Engineering Workshop





Outline

- Context
- Fault detection
- Problem
- Proposed solution
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusions and future work



Context of the study



O&M costs are significant! Esp. offshore (up to 30% of total cost)



Faults need to be predicted well before a failure happens



Fault detection: Two main approaches

- Based on Normal Behaviour Modelling (NBM)
 - + Only healthy data needed for training
 - Cannot distinguish between different failure types
- Based on Classification
 - + Different failure types can be distinguished
 - Both healthy and faulty data needed for training



When detection of <u>a certain fault</u> <u>type</u>, e.g., Gearbox fault, is required







Classification



Problem

Failures are rare events!

Available datasets:

Usually <u>a handful</u> of turbines during <u>a few years</u> of operation

This leads to problems when using classification methods

A simple example

Proposed solution: Synthetic signal generation

Simulating the same failure at different seasonal conditions

Train with a combination of field and synthetic signals

Methodology for synthetic SCADA signal generation

1: Eftekhari Milani, A., Zappalá, D., and Watson, S.; A hybrid Convolutional Autoencoder training algorithm for unsupervised bearing health indicator construction, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2024

Methodology for synthetic SCADA signal generation

 $\boldsymbol{S} = F(\boldsymbol{O}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{Z}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad$

modelled through a Conditional GAN (cGAN) which is a probabilistic generative deep learning framework

Dataset

- The SCADA dataset is received from Lucky Wind S.p.A
- Signals available from nine wind turbines (WT 1-9) through 5 years of operation, resampled to 6-hour intervals
- WT 8 experienced a gearbox failure in Feb 2022 \rightarrow WT 8 used for training
- The remaining eight WTs \rightarrow used for testing
- Selected signals for analysis:

Temperature signals: 3 gearbox bearings, gearbox oil, gearbox oil at the inlet Pressure signals: gearbox oil before filter, and after filter

ambient temperature, ambient wind speed

rotor RPM

Results – Without synthetic signals

ANN trained with data related to only one failure event (WT 8 – one year leading to failure)

- A lot of false positives around the date of the training set failure (February)
- Not clear whether the detection in WT 6 is, in fact, a fault or a false positive

The model cannot distinguish between the features specific to seasonal conditions at the time of failure and those specific to component degradation!

Results – With synthetic signals

ANN trained with both WT 8 data and four synthetically generated data

- Most of the false positives have been resolved
- A fault is detected at the end of Oct 2018 and continues until the end of May 2019
- The operating company confirmed that a gearbox fault was discovered and repaired around the end of May 2019

ANN (Classifier) trained with the field and synthetic datasets

Conclusions

- Classification methods are useful when the detection of a certain type of fault is required. However, their
 application is hindered by the limited availability of failure events in SCADA datasets
- In this work, a method based on cGANs is proposed to generate synthetic signals and address this limitation
- The generated synthetic signals improve the training of a classifier to learn fault features, leading to significantly fewer false positives.
- This leads to the blind detection of a fault in the gearbox of another wind turbine seven months before its discovery and maintenance by the wind farm operators.

Ongoing work

• Application to Remaining Useful Life (RUL) prediction

Thank you for your attention!

